
THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

SECOND EDITION

EDITOR
SEAN GATES

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

The Aviation Law Review

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.
This article was first published in The Aviation Law Review - Edition 2
(published in July 2014 – editor Sean Gates).

For further information please email
Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

Second Edition

Editor
SEAN GATES

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

PUBLISHER
Gideon Robertson

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS
Adam Sargent, Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS
Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee, James Spearing

ACCOUNT MANAGER
Felicity Bown

PUBLISHING COORDINATOR
Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT
Dominique Destrée

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT
Shani Bans

HEAD OF PRODUCTION
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Robbie Kelly

SUBEDITOR
Jonathan Allen

MANAGING DIRECTOR
Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK
© 2014 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of July 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-15-8

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

ANJARWALLA & KHANNA

AYBAY & AYBAY

BERNARDI & SCHNAPP ADOVAGADOS

BIRD & BIRD

CHRISTODOULOU & MAVRIKIS INC

CLASIS LAW

CLYDE & CO LLP

CUATRECASAS, GONÇALVES PEREIRA

DAVIS LLP

DF ADVOCATES

FITZPATRICK & HUNT, TUCKER, COLLIER, PAGANO, AUBERT, LLP

GATES AVIATION LTD

GONDAR & ASOCIADOS

GRANDALL LAW FIRM (BEIJING)

JIPYONG

JURVNESHSERVICE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES

KENNEDYS LLP

KROMANN REUMERT

LAWIN LIDEIKA, PETRAUSKAS, VALIŪNAS IR PARTNERIAI

LAWOFFICE DR ANDREAS GRASSL

LS LEXJUS SINACTA

RAJA, DARRYL & LOH

SCHILLER RECHTSANWÄLTE AG

SQUIRE SANDERS MIKI YOSHIDA GAIKOKUHO KYODO JIGYO
HORITSU TOKKYO JIMUSHO

STEVENSON, WONG & CO

URWANTSCHKY DANGEL BORST PARTMBB

YOMI OSHIKOYA & CO (LEGAL PRACTITIONERS)

CONTENTS

Editor's Preface	vii
<i>Sean Gates</i>	
Chapter 1 ARGENTINA.....	1
<i>Ana Luisa Gondar</i>	
Chapter 2 AUSTRIA	12
<i>Andreas Grassl</i>	
Chapter 3 BELGIUM.....	22
<i>Dimitri de Bournonville and Cyril-Igor Grigorieff</i>	
Chapter 4 BRAZIL	31
<i>Ricardo Bernardi</i>	
Chapter 5 CANADA	48
<i>Laura M Safran, QC and Prasad Taksal</i>	
Chapter 6 CHINA.....	61
<i>Gao Feng</i>	
Chapter 7 DENMARK.....	74
<i>Jens Rostock-Jensen and Jakob Dahl Mikkelsen</i>	
Chapter 8 EUROPEAN UNION.....	84
<i>Dimitri de Bournonville, Cyril-Igor Grigorieff and Charlotte Thijssen</i>	
Chapter 9 FRANCE	100
<i>Vonnick le Guillou, Julie Catala-Marty, Marie Bresson, Jonathan Rubinstein, Loïc Poullain and Guilhem Argueyrolles</i>	

Chapter 10	GERMANY	114
	<i>Peter Urwantschky, Rainer Amann, Claudia Hess and Marco Abate</i>	
Chapter 11	HONG KONG	134
	<i>Neville Watkins and Simon Tong</i>	
Chapter 12	INDIA	146
	<i>Vineet Aneja and Sumeet Lall</i>	
Chapter 13	ITALY	159
	<i>Anna Masutti</i>	
Chapter 14	JAPAN	173
	<i>Tomohiko Kamimura</i>	
Chapter 15	KENYA.....	188
	<i>Sonal Sejpal and Karim M Lalji</i>	
Chapter 16	KOREA.....	202
	<i>Choon-Won Lee</i>	
Chapter 17	LITHUANIA.....	213
	<i>Vytautas Želvys</i>	
Chapter 18	MALAYSIA.....	228
	<i>Chew Phye Keat and Chong Kok Seng</i>	
Chapter 19	MALTA	240
	<i>Anthony Galea</i>	
Chapter 20	NETHERLANDS	250
	<i>Hilde van der Baan</i>	
Chapter 21	NIGERIA.....	266
	<i>Otunba Yomi Oshikoya and Gbenga Oshikoya</i>	

Chapter 22	PORTUGAL.....	280
	<i>Luís Soares de Sousa</i>	
Chapter 23	RUSSIA.....	291
	<i>Alexandra Rodina</i>	
Chapter 24	SOUTH AFRICA.....	301
	<i>Chris Christodoulou</i>	
Chapter 25	SPAIN	316
	<i>Diego Garrigues</i>	
Chapter 26	SWITZERLAND	328
	<i>Heinrich Hempel and Daniel Maritz</i>	
Chapter 27	TURKEY	341
	<i>M Ali Kartal</i>	
Chapter 28	UKRAINE	350
	<i>Anna Tsirat</i>	
Chapter 29	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES.....	363
	<i>Oliver Tebbit</i>	
Chapter 30	UNITED KINGDOM.....	377
	<i>Mark Welbourn</i>	
Chapter 31	UNITED STATES	392
	<i>Garrett J Fitzpatrick, James W Hunt and Mark R Irvine</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	415
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS ...	435

EDITOR'S PREFACE

The first edition of *The Aviation Law Review* has been enthusiastically received around the world. Not only is aviation law global in its reach, but it is also in many respects unique, even in the field of transport, as this edition demonstrates. The second edition of *The Aviation Law Review* includes chapters from contributors to the first edition alongside a number of chapters from lawyers in additional jurisdictions, making this an even more vital tool for students, practitioners and in-house counsel.

Clients continue to demand a 'one-stop shop' approach from their lawyers in this field given the issues they face and the special nature of the subject. All the customary disciplines of a commercial practice come into play, but all must then be overlaid with an aviation perspective. This further emphasises the essential nature of specialisation in the industry and maintains the exclusivity of the practice of aviation law.

This year again, regulatory matters have been to the fore with more and more countries seeking to feather-bed consumers with protection from the vicissitudes of life, and at the expense of the industry. The global tendency towards the nanny state piles costs on operators, which can only be recovered from ticket prices, and therefore consumers, but since the link between regulation and cost is indirect, regulators can boast of their concern for consumers without having to deal with the backlash of increasing cost, or finding a budget for their extravagance. Among others the US consumer protection laws represent a further imposition, though perhaps EC carriers will find comfort in the company of their peers!

Unmanned aerial vehicles are coming into the regulatory focus as aspirational operators look to adopt the technology for cost saving and additional services. BP has won the first licence from the FAA for use of UAVs to monitor the Alaskan pipeline. Amazon's intentions in this area have been widely published and the plans of Jeff Bezos should not be dismissed lightly. Many jurisdictions are consulting on the shape of the regulatory framework, on whether UAV operators will fall to be regulated similarly to commercial operators and what airspace they will be permitted to occupy.

Last year I railed against the failure of the EC to institute a truly first-class accident investigation body within Europe. This year practitioners' eyes should be

focused on a recent decision of the UK Court of Appeal in *Rogers v. Hoyle*, which has permitted the use of accident reports in civil liability trials contrary to the prohibition on this practice recommended by the draftsmen of the Chicago Convention 1944 in the accident investigation annex and by the draftsmen of the EU Regulation on accident investigations. The prospects for the EC revisiting the topic are remote, but perhaps the right approach in any event would be for a truly global accident investigation board to be established; the potential for cost saving would be significant, while providing the opportunity to eliminate those unable to attain a sufficiently high standard. Sadly the prospects of movement in the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) within the next two decades seem remote, though in the past the Air Navigation Commission has acted as an investigatory appellate body!

Before closing, one must welcome the Montreal Protocol to the Tokyo Convention following the Diplomatic Conference at the ICAO in Montreal this year. This has been focused on the activities of disruptive passengers and the regulation of in-flight security officers. The Protocol, by extending jurisdiction to the state of next landing in relation to criminal offences committed on board aircraft make it much more probable that those offences will be prosecuted and that disruptive passengers will be brought to book. Sadly, states declined to take the short step of extending immunity to the commander of the aircraft beyond that extant in the original Convention. The actions of the commander and flight and cabin crew remain susceptible to examination by courts, which can review their actions with the full benefit of hindsight and a test of reasonableness the interpretation of which will vary widely from one country to another. Industry recommended that deference be given to the actions of the flight crew in situations where the safety of the aircraft could be jeopardised, but this was not taken up by the delegates. Nevertheless the Protocol is to be commended for what it does achieve more than criticised for that which delegates passed on, and hopefully it will be ratified without too much delay.

I would like to extend my thanks to the contributors to this volume, both those who contributed before and those who have joined the group. Their efforts are highly appreciated and represent a substantial contribution to the global aviation law library.

Sean Gates

Gates Aviation Ltd

London

July 2014

Chapter 28

UKRAINE

*Anna Tsirat*¹

I INTRODUCTION

Although Ukraine has 26 airports, 98 per cent of passengers and 93 per cent of cargo and mail transportation are done through eight main airports, two of which (Borispol and Zhulyany) are located in the capital, Kiyv. Borispol International Airport is treated as the main gateway to Ukraine, through which 7.9 million passenger transportations (52 per cent of the total) were made in 2013. The rest of the airports, including Simferopol, which is temporarily occupied by the Russian Federation, had smaller shares of the total, varying from 3 per cent in Kharkiv to 8 per cent in Simferopol.

The Civil Aircraft Registry lists more than 1,000 different models of aircraft used by legal and natural persons in Ukraine. Ukrainian legislation sets no limitation on foreign ownership or participation in air transportation, general aviation, civil airports and air transport-related projects.

Passengers are served by 33 local airlines of which Ukraine International Airlines has taken the dominant position because of the Aerosvit bankruptcy at the beginning of 2013. Parity in passenger transportation between foreign and local airlines, reached in 2012, has been broken in 2013 in favour of foreign airlines, which had 57 per cent of served passengers. Only 11 Ukrainian airlines had regular international routes in 2013 compared with 56 foreign airlines active on the Ukrainian market. Regular local routes were flown by eight airlines, some of which had considerable financial difficulties by the beginning of 2014 for different reasons, including a 6 per cent decline in the passenger stream. The proportion of international to local transportation was 85 per cent to 15 per cent in favour of international carriers.²

1 Anna Tsirat is a partner at Jurvneshservice International Legal Services.

2 Ukraine State Aviation Service, 'Operational Results of the Aviation Field of Ukraine in 2013'.

Ukraine has inherited from the former Soviet Union almost all the chains of aviation production³ that were almost destroyed at the time of independence, but all state subsidies were spent 'on research'. The research included efforts to manufacture serially such aircraft as An-39 (1994), which was modernised and replaced by An-28 10 years later. The An-70 trial programme has remained unfinished since 1994. The development of An-140 was started in 1987 but only 15 units were produced. The An-72, initiated in 1977, was modified and became the An-74. The An-180, An-218, An-88 and An-148 were never produced serially.⁴

Ukraine is a party to the Chicago Convention of 1944 but not a party to the Geneva Convention of 1948, or the Rome Convention of 1933.

Ukraine has ratified the 1970 Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft and the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Civil Aviation signed at Montreal on 23 September 1971.

Ukraine is a party to the Cape Town Convention of 2001 and the Aircraft Protocol, which have both been ratified by the Ukrainian parliament and have been effective and enforceable in Ukraine since 1 November 2012. The State Aviation Service of Ukraine has approved internal regulations to implement the Convention and the Protocol, allowing it to issue authorisation codes to register aviation equipment in the International Registry.

The European Union and Ukraine initiated a comprehensive air services agreement at the end of 2013, though neither Ukrainian airlines nor airports are ready to implement it.⁵ The agreement opens the way towards a 'common aviation area' between the EU and Ukraine, based on common and reliable standards in aviation safety and air traffic management.

The issues of slots usage and management are dealt with in several regulations. Before obtaining slots approval from the State Aviation Service's Department of Aviation Transportation and Licensing, an operator has to have them approved by the relevant airports for two seasons every year.⁶

3 At the beginning of 2014 Ukraine had 37 certified designers of aircraft and engines (www.avia.gov.ua/uploads/documents/9228.pdf) and 31 certified serial manufacturers of aircraft, engines and equipment (www.avia.gov.ua/uploads/documents/9227.pdf).

4 Preyer Dmitro, 'Current state and development problems in the aviation sector in Ukraine', me.kmu.gov.ua/file/link/135368/file/Ukr.

5 The Institute for Economic Research and Policy Consulting, 'Europeanisation of Ukrainian airspace: advantages and problems connected with the execution of the agreement on the Common Aviation Area'; <http://eu.prostir.ua/files/1322828931580/2811ukrfinal.pdf>.

6 Rules on issuance to operators of authority to depart from and land at the airports of Ukraine approved by an order of the Ukraine State Service for Aviation Safety (a predecessor to the State Aviation Service of Ukraine) and by the Ministry of Defence of Ukraine, No. 897/703 dd. 28 November 2005, as amended.

II LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR LIABILITY

Although the Air Code of Ukraine (the Air Code) is the main regulatory act for civil aviation it is silent as to carrier responsibility for injuries caused in the course of air transportation, either local or international. There is no Ukrainian legislation providing for operator liability for damage caused to third persons on the ground. In the case of such damage, the injured party, or his or her successor, should be guided by the general rules of the Civil Code on damage compensation.

Ukraine is a party to the Warsaw Convention, which took effect for Ukraine at the end of 1959. In August 1963 the Hague Protocol modifying the Warsaw Convention became effective. The Guadalajara Convention of 1961, supplementary to the Warsaw Convention, although signed in 1961 by Ukraine, took effect only at the beginning of 1984.

The Montreal Convention became obligatory for Ukraine as of 6 May 2009 under Law No. 685-VI dated 17 December 2008.

i International carriage

As Ukraine is a party to the Warsaw Convention and the Montreal Convention, and subject to the regulations of the Constitution and the Law on International Treaties, No. 1906-IV dated 29 June 2004, active international treaties ratified by the Ukrainian parliament are a part of national law, to be enforced under an order providing for local legislation and overriding existing local acts.

ii Internal and other non-convention carriage

Non-convention carriage should be performed under the rules of an appropriate agreement concluded between a carrier and a person to be transported.

Responsibility for internal carriage is provided in the Rules on Passengers and Their Luggage Air Transportation (the Transportation Rules).⁷ The Rules mainly reflect the Warsaw Convention requirements as to passenger transportation, including the requirement for a ticket, while the amount of responsibility for accidents corresponds to that in the rules provided by the Montreal Convention.

iii General aviation regulation

There is no single document that regulates liability of aircraft operation. The Ukrainian law does not define such types of aircraft as helicopters and microlights, and correspondingly general rules are applied to them.

The rules that concern the safe operation of any aircraft may be found under the following different acts:

- a Law No. 545-IV dated 20 February 2003 on the State Programme of Civil Aviation Safety;

⁷ The Transportation Rules have been adopted by Order No. 735 of the Ministry of Infrastructure of Ukraine dated 30 November 2012, which took effect on 25 January 2013.

- b* Rule No. 430 dated 5 July 2010 as amended on the certification of operators that operate civil aircraft with the purpose of carrying out commercial transportation under OPS 1 (Rule 430);
- c* Instruction No. 199 dated 18 March 2005 on Procedure to Transport Weapons and Ammunition on Passenger Flights by Air Transport;
- d* Rule No. 684 dated 20 September 2005 on certification of operators (non-commercial operators);
- e* Rule No. 293 dated 16 April 2003 on flying civil aircraft to serve air transportation in classified Ukraine airspace; and
- f* Rule No. 486 dated 7 December 1998 on the issue of certificates to aviation personnel in Ukraine, etc.

iv Passenger rights

Passenger rights for scheduled and charter flights are regulated by Articles 103–107 of the Air Code, and are repeated in the Transportation Rules. In general these regulations almost fully correspond to Regulation (EC) No. 261/2004. The differences are as follows:

- a* services provided by a carrier in cases of flight cancellation are not defined as ‘right to care’;
- b* there is no concrete procedure or time limits for payment of benefits in cases of denied boarding. Thus, it shall be agreed by the passenger himself or herself; and in the event of the carrier’s failure to perform the agreement, the passenger may sue the carrier; and
- c* the carrier is discharged from liability to compensate for flight cancellation if the cancellation was caused by an act of *force majeure* or an extraordinary situation that could not have been avoided even if all measures had been taken. The concept of ‘*force majeure*’ is not defined by Ukrainian law. The Air Code defines extraordinary circumstances as those that cause long delay or cancellation of one or more flights even if a carrier has taken all reasonable measures to prevent delay or cancellations. Extraordinary circumstances are, *inter alia*, military actions, mass disorders, sabotage, embargo, fire, flood and other natural calamities, explosions, acts or inaction of state bodies, strikes, technical obstacles arising because of breakdowns and shortcomings, disrepair of electric systems, communication, connection, equipment and software (without any reference to the source of the obstacle). Such a definition will always give the carrier the possibility of justifying a cancellation.

v Other legislation

The Law on the Protection of Economic Competition (the Competition Law)⁸ defines the legal basis for the maintenance and protection of competition, and the limitation of monopolistic practices in commercial activities; and is directed to provide the efficient functioning of the Ukrainian economy on the grounds of fair competition in any field, including aviation.

8 No. 2210-III dated 11 January 2001 as amended.

The Law on Principles to Prevent and Counteract Corruption⁹ covers the public and private sectors and regulates the compensation of damage due to corruption, and the restoration of violated rights of natural and legal persons.

III LICENSING OF OPERATIONS

i Licensed activities

Passenger and cargo transportation by air is subject to a special licence under the Law on Licensing of Certain Kinds of Business Activities.¹⁰ Such a licence may be issued only to an operator, (i.e., an air carrier with an active air operator certificate (AOC)). The Air Code states that a licence may be issued to an operator that possesses at least one aircraft. The aircraft may be owned or dry-leased by the operator, who should provide air transportation within the terms and conditions stated in the AOC.

Certification of the operator is provided under the Rules of Operators' Certification.¹¹ They provide for a special procedure to monitor an operator's ability to provide aviation services safely according to stated requirements. Certification has three different stages: (1) nominal certification, which accompanies issuance of the AOC; (2) permanent control over the operator's activities; and (3) regular operational inspections that are made periodically (every six or 12 months) depending on the subject of the inspection.

ii Ownership rules

Ukrainian law, reflecting modern trends, does not limit the participation of foreign capital in Ukrainian carriers or operators.

The question of foreign capital participation arose upon the appointment by Ukraine of a carrier to operate certain international routes under bilateral air services agreements; under some of these treaties Ukraine required that the appointed carrier was established in Ukraine by citizens of Ukraine, with a controlling share in the carrier and a current licence for air transportation. Effective control over the carrier was established and maintained by Ukraine, which was responsible for the issuance of the AOC.

The current 'Procedure for granting and cancelling of air traffic rights in Ukraine'¹² does not contain any ownership limitation.

The Ukrainian aircraft registry is owner-based and there is no limitation on aircraft ownership (i.e., any natural or legal person of any residence may be the owner of the aircraft).

9 Law No. 3206-VI dated 7 April 2011 as amended.

10 Law No. 1775-III dated 1 June 2000 as amended.

11 Approved by the Ukraine State Service for Aviation Safety, order No. 684 dated 20 September 2005 as amended.

12 Adopted by the Ministry of Infrastructure of Ukraine, order No. 245 dated 23 April 2013.

iii Foreign carriers

The operation of international routes is distinct from the operation of domestic routes. Operations to and from third countries are subject to traffic rights stated in the relevant international treaties. Ukraine recognises AOCs and other licences issued by foreign states to their carriers.

To operate regular and chartered flights on international routes to and from Ukraine, the foreign carrier must apply to a special commission comprised of the representatives of the aviation authority and the Ministry of Infrastructure, and submit a set of documents including copies of licences and an AOC; a list of aircraft (type, quantity, seating capacity and state marks) to be used for the route, their airworthiness certificates and insurance policies (hull, passengers and their luggage liabilities, third-party liability and crew members); a programme of aviation safety; documents on the carrier's financial position and a business plan for 36 months.

To operate domestic routes, the carrier is required to have its permanent location in Ukraine.¹³

IV SAFETY

Any commercial or non-commercial operator shall follow the requirements of the 'Provision on management systems of flight safety in air transportation, No. 895'.¹⁴

Ukraine has established a state programme to adapt its laws to those of the EU,¹⁵ therefore the Ukrainian 'Rules on airworthiness maintenance (Part-M), No. 85'¹⁶ are made in accordance with Regulation (EC) No. 2042/2003 dated 20 November 2003; No. 707/2006 of 8 May 2006; No. 376/2007 of 30 March 2007; No. 1056/2008 of 27 October 2008; No. 127/2010 of 5 February 2010; No. 962/2010 of 26 October 2010; No. 1149/2011 of 21 October 2011; and No. 593/2012 of 5 July 2012.

Accident reporting is done under the 'Rules on investigation of accidents and incidents with civil aircraft in Ukraine, No. 943' (Rule 943),¹⁷ which among other things, take into consideration the requirements of Annex 13 to the Chicago Convention, Directive 94/56/EC of 21 November 1994 establishing the fundamental principles governing the investigation of civil aviation accidents and incidents and ICAO Doc 9756 AN/965; Doc 9156 and Doc 9422. In the event of an aviation incident, a Ukrainian company is required to notify a civil aviation search-and-rescue coordination centre or traffic control body that will take action under Rule 943.

13 Paragraph 6.1 of Rule 430.

14 Approved by the Ukraine State Service for Aviation Safety on 25 November 2005.

15 Adopted by Law No. 1629-IV dated 18 March 2004 as amended.

16 Approved by the Ministry of Infrastructure dated 10 February 2012.

17 Approved by the Ukraine State Service for Aviation Safety on 13 December 2005.

V INSURANCE

Types and levels of insurance are stipulated by the Regulation of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 1535 of 12 October 2002 (Regulation 1535). This states that Ukrainian air operators are required to take insurance with a Ukrainian insurance company that is registered with the State Aviation Service of Ukraine. There is, however, no limitation on taking reinsurance with a foreign reinsurer.

Regulation 1535 list types of obligatory insurance including carrier's liability for damage to passengers, baggage, mail and cargo; third-party liability; aviation hull; insurance of crew members and technical services personnel. The levels of insurance fixed in Regulation 1535 are outdated and contradictory in relation to other active rules that regulate aviation transportation.

The level of carrier liability towards passengers, their baggage, mail and cargo under Regulation 1535 corresponds to Warsaw Convention requirements, (i.e., damage to a passenger's life and health is evaluated at \$20,000, to baggage, mail and cargo at \$20 per kilogram and to personal baggage at \$400). At the same time the Transportation Rules, which are applied to both international and domestic flights, state that a carrier may not limit its liability for damage caused by passenger death or injury to 113,100 special drawing rights (SDR). In the case of an accident, the carrier is liable to make immediately, but anyway not later than within 15 days, and after passenger identification, an advance payment of 16,000 SDR.

In the case of international flights, the EU levels provided by Regulation (EC) No. 785/2004 levels are applied.

The level of minimum liability towards third parties depends on the maximum take-off weight of the aircraft. It is 100 times lower than that provided by Regulation (EC) No. 785/2004. To receive even such a small amount the air operator shall provide to the insurer experts' statements and court judgments that confirm the amount of damages. The requirement to provide these documents makes the prospect of compensation unlikely. The Civil Code of Ukraine in Article 1194 states that the operator has to cover the difference between the factual damage and the received insurance payment, but this amount may be so significant that the operator may not have sufficient assets to settle the difference and damage to third parties will not be covered.

The minimum level of crew member insurance is 100,000 hryvnias.

The Transportation Rules require insurance certificates to be on board the aircraft during its operation.

VI COMPETITION

The Competition Law is modelled on statutes adopted in European competition law regimes, and reflects Ukraine's interest in integrating with western markets¹⁸ and has the same substantive and procedural features found in most competition law today.¹⁹

The Competition Law defines five categories of prohibited actions: (1) anti-competitive concerted actions; (2) abuse of dominance; (3) concentrations; (4) anti-competitive actions of governmental bodies; and (5) restricting and discriminating activities of entrepreneurs and their unions.

Anti-competitive concerted actions are defined as those that lead or may lead to denial, elimination or restriction of competition and include setting prices or other conditions in respect of the purchase or sale of products; restriction of production, product markets, technical and technological development, investments or the establishment of control over them; separation of markets or supply sources under certain principles (territorial, subjective or objective, etc.); distortion of auctions, tenders or competition results; removal or limitation of access to other entrepreneurs; application of different terms and conditions to similar agreements with some entrepreneurs that put them in an unfavourable competitive position; tying arrangements; and limitation of competitiveness of other entrepreneurs.

The exemption to anti-competitive concerted actions is given, *inter alia*, to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) whose sales or assets in the previous fiscal year do not exceed €500,000. Besides, such actions may be allowed if the parties to them prove that their actions will improve production, purchases or sales; technical or technological and economical development; development of SMEs; optimisation of exports or imports of goods; or development and enforcement of unified technical terms and standards for goods.

An undertaking is deemed to hold a dominant position on the market if its market share exceeds 35 per cent, unless it proves that it is exposed to substantial competition. A market share equal to or less than 35 per cent may be considered dominant if the economic entity does not face substantial competition, particularly because of the relatively small market shares of its competitors.

Concentration is defined as:

- a* the merger of economic entities or the affiliation of an economic entity to another entity;
- b* the acquisition of control directly or through other persons over one or several economic entities or over parts of economic entities by one or several economic entities, in particular by means of:
 - the direct or indirect purchase or acquisition (by other means) of assets in the form of an integrated property complex of, or a structural subdivision of, an economic entity; the receipt (for further management), lease (leasing),

18 'Competition Law and Policy in Ukraine: An OECD Peer Review', 2008. www.oecd.org/regreform/sectors/41165857.pdf.

19 'Voluntary Peer Review of Competition Law and Policy: Ukraine'. UNCTAD/DITC/CLP/2013/3 (Overview), Pt. III.

concession or acquisition (by other means) of the right to use assets in the form of an integrated property complex of, or a structural subdivision of, an economic entity, in particular the purchase of assets of a liquidated economic entity;

- the appointment or election of a person – occupying one or several positions of the head or deputy head of the supervisory board or the board of directors, or these positions at other supervisory or executive boards of other economic entities – as the head or deputy head of the supervisory board, the board of directors or other supervisory or executive boards of the economic entity, or the creation of a situation where there is the coincidence of more than half of the members of the supervisory board or the board of directors, or more than half of the members of other supervisory or executive boards of two or more economic entities; and
 - the establishment of such an economic entity by two or more economic entities that will independently perform economic activities for a long period, where such formation does not result in the coordination of competitive behaviour between the economic entities that established the economic entity or between them and the newly established economic entity; and
- c* such direct or indirect purchase, acquisition (by other means) or receipt (for management) of shares (stocks) that ensures attaining or exceeding 25 or 50 per cent of the votes at the higher board of management of the relevant economic entity.

Under the Competition Law the concentration may be carried out only on condition that prior approval for it is granted by the Antimonopoly Committee of Ukraine:

- a* if the total cost of assets or the total product sales of the participants in the concentration, with relations of control being taken into account, in the last financial year, including those abroad, exceed a sum equivalent to €12 million while:
- the assets (total assets) or the sales (total sales) of products, including those abroad, of at least two participants in the concentration, with relations of control being taken into account, exceed a sum equivalent to €1 million; and
 - the assets (total assets) or the sales (total sales) of products, in Ukraine only, of at least one participant in the concentration, with relations of control being taken into account, exceed a sum equivalent to €1 million; or
- b* irrespective of the total value of the assets or the total product sales of the participants if a share of any participant or joint share of the participants on a market exceeds 35 per cent providing that the concentration is made on the same or adjacent market.

Approval if given, is granted after consideration of a prescribed set of documents in 30 days.

As cooperation (code-sharing) agreements may be treated as anti-competitive concerted actions, some operators received preliminary approval²⁰ from the Antimonopoly Committee of Ukraine.

At present, the Criminal Code of Ukraine does not foresee any liability for infringements of competition law.

VII ESTABLISHING LIABILITY AND SETTLEMENT

i Procedure

Receipt of checked baggage by the person, who has a right on its receipt, without raising of claims provides for, until the contrary not proved, that baggage was delivered in proper condition and according to traffic document or record, which is kept by other means of information storage. A passenger shall confirm the contrary by drawing up a 'property irregularity report' about carelessness during the transportation of the baggage. In cases of improper transportation of checked baggage the passenger shall submit a written claim to the airline immediately upon the damage being revealed (lack of baggage contents, damage of things, etc.), but not later than within seven days since the date of receipt of the checked baggage. In cases of delay in transportation of baggage a claim shall be raised by the passenger not later than within 21 calendar days from the date that the baggage was delivered to the passenger. Claims related to loss of baggage are raised against the airline after the baggage is acknowledged as lost. Baggage is to be considered lost if it has not been found following a search within 21 calendar days from the date following that on which the baggage was supposed to arrive at the destination point.

In the case of any claims against an operator, such claims may be submitted either to the operator or its agent to be settled voluntarily out of court. The claim shall be considered within three months from its receipt if the claimed transportation was made by one carrier. If the transportation was made by several carriers, the period of consideration may be prolonged by up to six months. Having received a claim, the operator shall within 15 days notify the applicant of receipt of the claim and request additional documents if necessary, as well as inform the applicant of the term of the claim settlement. A passenger or the passenger's successor may initiate the action without making a claim submission.

Where the operator and applicant fail to settle amicably, and depending on the grounds for the claim, the Civil Code of Ukraine provides general jurisdiction rules. The applicant (passenger or his or her successor) may apply to a court:

- a* at the carrier's place of registration, as general rule;
- b* before the court at the place of destination;
- c* in the case of damage to life and health at the applicant's own location; or
- d* in the case of damage to the applicant's property (baggage or cargo), at the place the damage occurred.

20 Decision N 307-p as of 12 May 2012.

The Transportation Rules provide special jurisdiction rules for 'undue' passenger and baggage transportation without defining which transportation is undue. We may, therefore, assume that 'undue' means in violation or contradiction of the transportation contract.

An action for damages must be brought, at the plaintiff's request, either before the court of the domicile of the carrier or of its principal place of business, or where it has a place of business through which the contract was made, within three years from the date of the plaintiff's arrival at the destination point, or from the date when the aircraft should have arrived at the destination point, or from the date on which the transportation was stopped. The right to damages is lost if the claim is not submitted within these limits.

Under the Civil Code the limitation period for bringing claims for cargo and mail transportation is one year.

The law does not define which parties (owners, pilots, manufacturers, etc.) in addition to operators and passengers may be joined in actions for compensation nor how liability is allocated among the defendants. All these issues shall be decided by the court.

ii Carriers' liability towards passengers and third parties

As to the damages to third parties on the ground, the operator is liable unless it proves that the damage has been caused by an act of God or the wrongful act of a third party. The operator's liability is strict. The operator is liable to compensate third parties fully for damage, without any limitations.

The carrier's liability towards passengers is strict as well. The carrier is liable within limits stated in the Montreal Convention, for international transportation, and limits stated in the Transportation Rules, for domestic flights.

iii Product liability

Ukraine has no special regime governing the liability of manufacturers and owners towards passengers and operators, but the general rules of the Civil Code apply. The manufacturer is obliged to compensate for injury or damage arising from a defective product.

iv Compensation

The Civil Code provides an injured person with the right to claim compensatory damages. Compensable damage includes:

- a* losses incurred by a person as a result of destruction or damage of goods as well as expenses that the person has incurred or must incur to restore its violated rights (real losses); and
- b* income that the person would have received under ordinary circumstances if his, her or its right had not been violated (the lost profit).

Damage shall be indemnified in full unless any other extent of indemnification is provided by the agreement or the law. So damage arising out of passenger transportation shall be compensated within limits provided by the Montreal Convention and the Transportation Rules. Upon the request of the injured person and according to the circumstances of the case, property damage may be indemnified in another way, in particular, in kind (by transferring the thing of the same type and quality, repairing the damaged thing, etc.).

The injured person has right to the indemnification for the moral damage resulting from the violation of his, her or its right. Moral damage consists in:

- a* physical pain and misery suffered by a natural person in connection with his or her disability or other health impairment;
- b* mental suffering incurred by a natural person in connection with the illegal conduct towards him or her, his or her family or close relatives;
- c* mental suffering incurred by a natural person in connection with the destruction of or damage to his or her property; and
- d* the abasement of human dignity, honour and business standing of a natural person and a legal entity.

The amount of moral damages shall be specified by the court depending on the nature of the infringement; the extent of the physical and moral suffering, and of the degradation of a sufferer's capabilities or deprivation of his or her possibility of realising them; the degree of guilt of the person inflicting moral damage if this guilt is grounds for the indemnification; as well as the court having regard to other circumstances of material significance.

Moral damage shall be indemnified regardless of the property damage (which is subject to indemnification) and is not connected with the amount of the indemnification for the property damage.

The state-funded social security fund covers expenses for funerals only in the case of a passenger death.

VIII THE YEAR IN REVIEW

Under the State Aviation Service's Flight Safety Programme for 2014–2016²¹ there were only 108 incidents from 2006 to 2013; in one accident out of these incidents five persons died and eight were injured. The terms of settlement with the injured persons have not been disclosed.

The past two years have been difficult for Ukrainian aviation for various reasons, including the political instability in the country and a decrease in citizens' income, neither of which have been good for air transportation. As a result these past years are remarkable for the bankruptcies of local airlines, including that of leading carrier Aerosvit.

IX OUTLOOK

On 28 November 2013 at the Eastern Partnership Summit in Vilnius, Lithuania, the European Union and Ukraine initialled a comprehensive air services agreement. This agreement opens the way towards a 'Common Aviation Area' between the EU and Ukraine, based on common and reliable standards in important areas such as aviation safety and air traffic management. The agreement aims to gradually open the parties' respective aviation markets and integrate Ukraine into a wider European common

21 Approved by Order No. 18 of 15 January 2014 of the Ministry of Infrastructure of Ukraine.

aviation area. The fact that the agreement has been initialled means only that the diplomats affixed their signature to every page of the treaty to confirm that the present version is the agreed-upon text, (i.e., it does not make the treaty binding). The agreement is expected to be ratified this year; if this happens, Ukraine can expect considerable changes to its market for operating carriers, both local and foreign ones.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

ANNA TSIRAT

Jurvneshservice International Legal Services

Dr A Tsirat graduated from Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv specialising in commercial law and the from the Romano-Germanic Philology Department specialising in English literature and language. She also attended study courses at the Institute of International Law Development (IDLI) in Rome. A Tsirat presented her thesis on franchise agreements in 2003. She is fluent in English, Ukrainian and Russian.

Dr A Tsirat is a member of the International Bar Association, the Kyiv Region Bar and the Center for International Legal Studies (Salzburg, Austria).

Dr A Tsirat specialises in the areas of aircraft financing (purchase, sale, lease and mortgage of aircraft and engines); international trade (agency, distribution and franchising agreements); litigation and dispute resolution; protection of foreign investments; corporate law; and tax planning and tax disputes.

Dr A Tsirat is active in academic work, lectures at the Institute of Modern Knowledge and is the author of a series of research papers in different fields of law.

JURVNESHSERVICE INTERNATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES

46/11 Turgenevska Street, Section 1, Office 2

Kyiv 01054

Ukraine

Tel: +380 44 239 23 90

Fax: +380 44 239 23 90

a.tsirat@jvs.com.ua

www.jvs.com.ua